



VOL. LIX—NO. 233

POPULATION 29,919

NORWICH, CONN., SATURDAY, SEPTEMBER 28, 1918

12 PAGES—88 CENTS

PRICE TWO CENTS

# PRESIDENT EXPLICITLY STATES TERMS OF PEACE

In Address at Opening of Fourth Liberty Loan Drive in New York, President Wilson Stated Positively That No Peace Can be Obtained by Any Kind of Bargain or Compromise with the Governments of the Central Powers—When Settlement is Made "It Must Be with a Full and Unequivocal Acceptance of the Principle That the Interest of the Weakest is as Sacred as the Interest of the Strongest"—Agreements Reached at Peace Table Must Be Open to the Whole World.

New York, Sept. 27.—The price of peace will be impartial justice to all nations, the president declared today in his address at the opening of the fourth liberty loan drive in New York. He stated that no peace can be obtained by any kind of bargain or compromise with the governments of the central powers. When settlement is made, it must be with a full and unequivocal acceptance of the principle that the interest of the weakest is as sacred as the interest of the strongest. Agreements reached at the peace table must be open to the whole world.

The president emphasized that the price of peace will be impartial justice to all nations. He stated that no peace can be obtained by any kind of bargain or compromise with the governments of the central powers. When settlement is made, it must be with a full and unequivocal acceptance of the principle that the interest of the weakest is as sacred as the interest of the strongest. Agreements reached at the peace table must be open to the whole world.

The president emphasized that the price of peace will be impartial justice to all nations. He stated that no peace can be obtained by any kind of bargain or compromise with the governments of the central powers. When settlement is made, it must be with a full and unequivocal acceptance of the principle that the interest of the weakest is as sacred as the interest of the strongest. Agreements reached at the peace table must be open to the whole world.

The president emphasized that the price of peace will be impartial justice to all nations. He stated that no peace can be obtained by any kind of bargain or compromise with the governments of the central powers. When settlement is made, it must be with a full and unequivocal acceptance of the principle that the interest of the weakest is as sacred as the interest of the strongest. Agreements reached at the peace table must be open to the whole world.

The president emphasized that the price of peace will be impartial justice to all nations. He stated that no peace can be obtained by any kind of bargain or compromise with the governments of the central powers. When settlement is made, it must be with a full and unequivocal acceptance of the principle that the interest of the weakest is as sacred as the interest of the strongest. Agreements reached at the peace table must be open to the whole world.

The president emphasized that the price of peace will be impartial justice to all nations. He stated that no peace can be obtained by any kind of bargain or compromise with the governments of the central powers. When settlement is made, it must be with a full and unequivocal acceptance of the principle that the interest of the weakest is as sacred as the interest of the strongest. Agreements reached at the peace table must be open to the whole world.

The president emphasized that the price of peace will be impartial justice to all nations. He stated that no peace can be obtained by any kind of bargain or compromise with the governments of the central powers. When settlement is made, it must be with a full and unequivocal acceptance of the principle that the interest of the weakest is as sacred as the interest of the strongest. Agreements reached at the peace table must be open to the whole world.

The president emphasized that the price of peace will be impartial justice to all nations. He stated that no peace can be obtained by any kind of bargain or compromise with the governments of the central powers. When settlement is made, it must be with a full and unequivocal acceptance of the principle that the interest of the weakest is as sacred as the interest of the strongest. Agreements reached at the peace table must be open to the whole world.

The president emphasized that the price of peace will be impartial justice to all nations. He stated that no peace can be obtained by any kind of bargain or compromise with the governments of the central powers. When settlement is made, it must be with a full and unequivocal acceptance of the principle that the interest of the weakest is as sacred as the interest of the strongest. Agreements reached at the peace table must be open to the whole world.

The president emphasized that the price of peace will be impartial justice to all nations. He stated that no peace can be obtained by any kind of bargain or compromise with the governments of the central powers. When settlement is made, it must be with a full and unequivocal acceptance of the principle that the interest of the weakest is as sacred as the interest of the strongest. Agreements reached at the peace table must be open to the whole world.

The president emphasized that the price of peace will be impartial justice to all nations. He stated that no peace can be obtained by any kind of bargain or compromise with the governments of the central powers. When settlement is made, it must be with a full and unequivocal acceptance of the principle that the interest of the weakest is as sacred as the interest of the strongest. Agreements reached at the peace table must be open to the whole world.

The president emphasized that the price of peace will be impartial justice to all nations. He stated that no peace can be obtained by any kind of bargain or compromise with the governments of the central powers. When settlement is made, it must be with a full and unequivocal acceptance of the principle that the interest of the weakest is as sacred as the interest of the strongest. Agreements reached at the peace table must be open to the whole world.

The president emphasized that the price of peace will be impartial justice to all nations. He stated that no peace can be obtained by any kind of bargain or compromise with the governments of the central powers. When settlement is made, it must be with a full and unequivocal acceptance of the principle that the interest of the weakest is as sacred as the interest of the strongest. Agreements reached at the peace table must be open to the whole world.

## Cabled Paragraphs

Conference on Eastern Proposal. London, Sept. 27.—The British foreign secretary, Arthur Balfour, and the French foreign secretary, Georges Clemenceau, are in conference with David Lloyd George, the premier, with regard to the Bulgarian armistice proposal.

And, as I see it, the constitution of the League of Nations and the clear definition of its objects must be a part, in a sense the most essential part, of the peace settlement itself. It cannot be merely a new alliance confined to the nations associated against a common enemy. It is not likely that it could be formed after the settlement. It is necessary to guarantee the peace, and the peace cannot be guaranteed as an afterthought. The reason for this is that the settlement is not a mere bargain or compromise, but a full and unequivocal acceptance of the principle that the interest of the weakest is as sacred as the interest of the strongest.

And, as I see it, the constitution of the League of Nations and the clear definition of its objects must be a part, in a sense the most essential part, of the peace settlement itself. It cannot be merely a new alliance confined to the nations associated against a common enemy. It is not likely that it could be formed after the settlement. It is necessary to guarantee the peace, and the peace cannot be guaranteed as an afterthought. The reason for this is that the settlement is not a mere bargain or compromise, but a full and unequivocal acceptance of the principle that the interest of the weakest is as sacred as the interest of the strongest.

And, as I see it, the constitution of the League of Nations and the clear definition of its objects must be a part, in a sense the most essential part, of the peace settlement itself. It cannot be merely a new alliance confined to the nations associated against a common enemy. It is not likely that it could be formed after the settlement. It is necessary to guarantee the peace, and the peace cannot be guaranteed as an afterthought. The reason for this is that the settlement is not a mere bargain or compromise, but a full and unequivocal acceptance of the principle that the interest of the weakest is as sacred as the interest of the strongest.

And, as I see it, the constitution of the League of Nations and the clear definition of its objects must be a part, in a sense the most essential part, of the peace settlement itself. It cannot be merely a new alliance confined to the nations associated against a common enemy. It is not likely that it could be formed after the settlement. It is necessary to guarantee the peace, and the peace cannot be guaranteed as an afterthought. The reason for this is that the settlement is not a mere bargain or compromise, but a full and unequivocal acceptance of the principle that the interest of the weakest is as sacred as the interest of the strongest.

And, as I see it, the constitution of the League of Nations and the clear definition of its objects must be a part, in a sense the most essential part, of the peace settlement itself. It cannot be merely a new alliance confined to the nations associated against a common enemy. It is not likely that it could be formed after the settlement. It is necessary to guarantee the peace, and the peace cannot be guaranteed as an afterthought. The reason for this is that the settlement is not a mere bargain or compromise, but a full and unequivocal acceptance of the principle that the interest of the weakest is as sacred as the interest of the strongest.

And, as I see it, the constitution of the League of Nations and the clear definition of its objects must be a part, in a sense the most essential part, of the peace settlement itself. It cannot be merely a new alliance confined to the nations associated against a common enemy. It is not likely that it could be formed after the settlement. It is necessary to guarantee the peace, and the peace cannot be guaranteed as an afterthought. The reason for this is that the settlement is not a mere bargain or compromise, but a full and unequivocal acceptance of the principle that the interest of the weakest is as sacred as the interest of the strongest.

And, as I see it, the constitution of the League of Nations and the clear definition of its objects must be a part, in a sense the most essential part, of the peace settlement itself. It cannot be merely a new alliance confined to the nations associated against a common enemy. It is not likely that it could be formed after the settlement. It is necessary to guarantee the peace, and the peace cannot be guaranteed as an afterthought. The reason for this is that the settlement is not a mere bargain or compromise, but a full and unequivocal acceptance of the principle that the interest of the weakest is as sacred as the interest of the strongest.

And, as I see it, the constitution of the League of Nations and the clear definition of its objects must be a part, in a sense the most essential part, of the peace settlement itself. It cannot be merely a new alliance confined to the nations associated against a common enemy. It is not likely that it could be formed after the settlement. It is necessary to guarantee the peace, and the peace cannot be guaranteed as an afterthought. The reason for this is that the settlement is not a mere bargain or compromise, but a full and unequivocal acceptance of the principle that the interest of the weakest is as sacred as the interest of the strongest.

And, as I see it, the constitution of the League of Nations and the clear definition of its objects must be a part, in a sense the most essential part, of the peace settlement itself. It cannot be merely a new alliance confined to the nations associated against a common enemy. It is not likely that it could be formed after the settlement. It is necessary to guarantee the peace, and the peace cannot be guaranteed as an afterthought. The reason for this is that the settlement is not a mere bargain or compromise, but a full and unequivocal acceptance of the principle that the interest of the weakest is as sacred as the interest of the strongest.

And, as I see it, the constitution of the League of Nations and the clear definition of its objects must be a part, in a sense the most essential part, of the peace settlement itself. It cannot be merely a new alliance confined to the nations associated against a common enemy. It is not likely that it could be formed after the settlement. It is necessary to guarantee the peace, and the peace cannot be guaranteed as an afterthought. The reason for this is that the settlement is not a mere bargain or compromise, but a full and unequivocal acceptance of the principle that the interest of the weakest is as sacred as the interest of the strongest.

And, as I see it, the constitution of the League of Nations and the clear definition of its objects must be a part, in a sense the most essential part, of the peace settlement itself. It cannot be merely a new alliance confined to the nations associated against a common enemy. It is not likely that it could be formed after the settlement. It is necessary to guarantee the peace, and the peace cannot be guaranteed as an afterthought. The reason for this is that the settlement is not a mere bargain or compromise, but a full and unequivocal acceptance of the principle that the interest of the weakest is as sacred as the interest of the strongest.

And, as I see it, the constitution of the League of Nations and the clear definition of its objects must be a part, in a sense the most essential part, of the peace settlement itself. It cannot be merely a new alliance confined to the nations associated against a common enemy. It is not likely that it could be formed after the settlement. It is necessary to guarantee the peace, and the peace cannot be guaranteed as an afterthought. The reason for this is that the settlement is not a mere bargain or compromise, but a full and unequivocal acceptance of the principle that the interest of the weakest is as sacred as the interest of the strongest.

And, as I see it, the constitution of the League of Nations and the clear definition of its objects must be a part, in a sense the most essential part, of the peace settlement itself. It cannot be merely a new alliance confined to the nations associated against a common enemy. It is not likely that it could be formed after the settlement. It is necessary to guarantee the peace, and the peace cannot be guaranteed as an afterthought. The reason for this is that the settlement is not a mere bargain or compromise, but a full and unequivocal acceptance of the principle that the interest of the weakest is as sacred as the interest of the strongest.

And, as I see it, the constitution of the League of Nations and the clear definition of its objects must be a part, in a sense the most essential part, of the peace settlement itself. It cannot be merely a new alliance confined to the nations associated against a common enemy. It is not likely that it could be formed after the settlement. It is necessary to guarantee the peace, and the peace cannot be guaranteed as an afterthought. The reason for this is that the settlement is not a mere bargain or compromise, but a full and unequivocal acceptance of the principle that the interest of the weakest is as sacred as the interest of the strongest.

And, as I see it, the constitution of the League of Nations and the clear definition of its objects must be a part, in a sense the most essential part, of the peace settlement itself. It cannot be merely a new alliance confined to the nations associated against a common enemy. It is not likely that it could be formed after the settlement. It is necessary to guarantee the peace, and the peace cannot be guaranteed as an afterthought. The reason for this is that the settlement is not a mere bargain or compromise, but a full and unequivocal acceptance of the principle that the interest of the weakest is as sacred as the interest of the strongest.

And, as I see it, the constitution of the League of Nations and the clear definition of its objects must be a part, in a sense the most essential part, of the peace settlement itself. It cannot be merely a new alliance confined to the nations associated against a common enemy. It is not likely that it could be formed after the settlement. It is necessary to guarantee the peace, and the peace cannot be guaranteed as an afterthought. The reason for this is that the settlement is not a mere bargain or compromise, but a full and unequivocal acceptance of the principle that the interest of the weakest is as sacred as the interest of the strongest.

And, as I see it, the constitution of the League of Nations and the clear definition of its objects must be a part, in a sense the most essential part, of the peace settlement itself. It cannot be merely a new alliance confined to the nations associated against a common enemy. It is not likely that it could be formed after the settlement. It is necessary to guarantee the peace, and the peace cannot be guaranteed as an afterthought. The reason for this is that the settlement is not a mere bargain or compromise, but a full and unequivocal acceptance of the principle that the interest of the weakest is as sacred as the interest of the strongest.

## Draft Will Begin at Noon Monday

Only the First 100 Names Will Be Telegraphed Over the Country.

Washington, Sept. 27.—Drawing of the master numbers which will determine the order in their respective classes of the 13,000,000 men who registered for military service Sept. 12 will begin at noon Monday and continue without interruption until the 17,000 capsules have been taken from the bowl. Officials estimate that it will require 25 hours to complete the work.

Because of the classification system, this drawing—the third since the nation went to war—will not have the significance that attached to the first lottery last year, when order numbers were assigned 9,000,000 men. Unless the war department changes its plan of calling reserves, the drawing of the deferred classifications, the numbers to be drawn will affect only those men of the new draft ages who are physically fit for military service and are not given deferments because of dependents or occupation.

This number, which was estimated at 2,500,000, but all of these will not be affected immediately, since the department has determined to call first men between 19 and 27. Men between 27 and 45 will not be called, but there has been no indication when that will be. For the youths of 18, students, training corps men, and others are physically fit, the military service is not open.

In announcing the date of the drawing, the War Department said that only the first 100 numbers will be telegraphed over the country. The others will be mailed to the district boards as the drawing proceeds and will be made public by the boards as rapidly as they are received. This will be a great relief to the public, who have been waiting for the drawing to begin.

And, as I see it, the constitution of the League of Nations and the clear definition of its objects must be a part, in a sense the most essential part, of the peace settlement itself. It cannot be merely a new alliance confined to the nations associated against a common enemy. It is not likely that it could be formed after the settlement. It is necessary to guarantee the peace, and the peace cannot be guaranteed as an afterthought. The reason for this is that the settlement is not a mere bargain or compromise, but a full and unequivocal acceptance of the principle that the interest of the weakest is as sacred as the interest of the strongest.

And, as I see it, the constitution of the League of Nations and the clear definition of its objects must be a part, in a sense the most essential part, of the peace settlement itself. It cannot be merely a new alliance confined to the nations associated against a common enemy. It is not likely that it could be formed after the settlement. It is necessary to guarantee the peace, and the peace cannot be guaranteed as an afterthought. The reason for this is that the settlement is not a mere bargain or compromise, but a full and unequivocal acceptance of the principle that the interest of the weakest is as sacred as the interest of the strongest.

And, as I see it, the constitution of the League of Nations and the clear definition of its objects must be a part, in a sense the most essential part, of the peace settlement itself. It cannot be merely a new alliance confined to the nations associated against a common enemy. It is not likely that it could be formed after the settlement. It is necessary to guarantee the peace, and the peace cannot be guaranteed as an afterthought. The reason for this is that the settlement is not a mere bargain or compromise, but a full and unequivocal acceptance of the principle that the interest of the weakest is as sacred as the interest of the strongest.

And, as I see it, the constitution of the League of Nations and the clear definition of its objects must be a part, in a sense the most essential part, of the peace settlement itself. It cannot be merely a new alliance confined to the nations associated against a common enemy. It is not likely that it could be formed after the settlement. It is necessary to guarantee the peace, and the peace cannot be guaranteed as an afterthought. The reason for this is that the settlement is not a mere bargain or compromise, but a full and unequivocal acceptance of the principle that the interest of the weakest is as sacred as the interest of the strongest.

And, as I see it, the constitution of the League of Nations and the clear definition of its objects must be a part, in a sense the most essential part, of the peace settlement itself. It cannot be merely a new alliance confined to the nations associated against a common enemy. It is not likely that it could be formed after the settlement. It is necessary to guarantee the peace, and the peace cannot be guaranteed as an afterthought. The reason for this is that the settlement is not a mere bargain or compromise, but a full and unequivocal acceptance of the principle that the interest of the weakest is as sacred as the interest of the strongest.

And, as I see it, the constitution of the League of Nations and the clear definition of its objects must be a part, in a sense the most essential part, of the peace settlement itself. It cannot be merely a new alliance confined to the nations associated against a common enemy. It is not likely that it could be formed after the settlement. It is necessary to guarantee the peace, and the peace cannot be guaranteed as an afterthought. The reason for this is that the settlement is not a mere bargain or compromise, but a full and unequivocal acceptance of the principle that the interest of the weakest is as sacred as the interest of the strongest.

And, as I see it, the constitution of the League of Nations and the clear definition of its objects must be a part, in a sense the most essential part, of the peace settlement itself. It cannot be merely a new alliance confined to the nations associated against a common enemy. It is not likely that it could be formed after the settlement. It is necessary to guarantee the peace, and the peace cannot be guaranteed as an afterthought. The reason for this is that the settlement is not a mere bargain or compromise, but a full and unequivocal acceptance of the principle that the interest of the weakest is as sacred as the interest of the strongest.

And, as I see it, the constitution of the League of Nations and the clear definition of its objects must be a part, in a sense the most essential part, of the peace settlement itself. It cannot be merely a new alliance confined to the nations associated against a common enemy. It is not likely that it could be formed after the settlement. It is necessary to guarantee the peace, and the peace cannot be guaranteed as an afterthought. The reason for this is that the settlement is not a mere bargain or compromise, but a full and unequivocal acceptance of the principle that the interest of the weakest is as sacred as the interest of the strongest.

And, as I see it, the constitution of the League of Nations and the clear definition of its objects must be a part, in a sense the most essential part, of the peace settlement itself. It cannot be merely a new alliance confined to the nations associated against a common enemy. It is not likely that it could be formed after the settlement. It is necessary to guarantee the peace, and the peace cannot be guaranteed as an afterthought. The reason for this is that the settlement is not a mere bargain or compromise, but a full and unequivocal acceptance of the principle that the interest of the weakest is as sacred as the interest of the strongest.

And, as I see it, the constitution of the League of Nations and the clear definition of its objects must be a part, in a sense the most essential part, of the peace settlement itself. It cannot be merely a new alliance confined to the nations associated against a common enemy. It is not likely that it could be formed after the settlement. It is necessary to guarantee the peace, and the peace cannot be guaranteed as an afterthought. The reason for this is that the settlement is not a mere bargain or compromise, but a full and unequivocal acceptance of the principle that the interest of the weakest is as sacred as the interest of the strongest.

And, as I see it, the constitution of the League of Nations and the clear definition of its objects must be a part, in a sense the most essential part, of the peace settlement itself. It cannot be merely a new alliance confined to the nations associated against a common enemy. It is not likely that it could be formed after the settlement. It is necessary to guarantee the peace, and the peace cannot be guaranteed as an afterthought. The reason for this is that the settlement is not a mere bargain or compromise, but a full and unequivocal acceptance of the principle that the interest of the weakest is as sacred as the interest of the strongest.

And, as I see it, the constitution of the League of Nations and the clear definition of its objects must be a part, in a sense the most essential part, of the peace settlement itself. It cannot be merely a new alliance confined to the nations associated against a common enemy. It is not likely that it could be formed after the settlement. It is necessary to guarantee the peace, and the peace cannot be guaranteed as an afterthought. The reason for this is that the settlement is not a mere bargain or compromise, but a full and unequivocal acceptance of the principle that the interest of the weakest is as sacred as the interest of the strongest.

And, as I see it, the constitution of the League of Nations and the clear definition of its objects must be a part, in a sense the most essential part, of the peace settlement itself. It cannot be merely a new alliance confined to the nations associated against a common enemy. It is not likely that it could be formed after the settlement. It is necessary to guarantee the peace, and the peace cannot be guaranteed as an afterthought. The reason for this is that the settlement is not a mere bargain or compromise, but a full and unequivocal acceptance of the principle that the interest of the weakest is as sacred as the interest of the strongest.

## Medical Aid Being Rushed to Bay State

Physicians and Nurses to Aid in Fighting the Epidemic of Influenza.

Boston, Sept. 27.—Word that scores of physicians and nurses were being rushed to Massachusetts from various sections of the country in answer to the state's call for aid in fighting the epidemic of influenza and the weather bureau's promise of continued sunshine, admittedly the best preventive of the disease, greatly encouraged local and state health officials today.

The first of the outside contingents was expected here tonight from Rhode Island, and others were due tomorrow from Toronto. Meanwhile the United States public service in Washington was busily engaged in mobilizing physicians and nurses from the middle west and elsewhere, and sent word that the first units should reach here within 48 hours. In all, the Washington officials hoped to respond to the commonwealth's appeal with 100 physicians and twice that number of nurses.

The emergency public health committee, leading the fight against the epidemic, took drastic steps today. Proprietors of soda fountains throughout the state were asked to stop the sale of soft drinks immediately because of the danger of infection from glasses; the management of the Brockton fair, one of the big outdoor carnivals of the fall season, was asked to postpone the fair until next week, and to once complied by cancelling the affair. A suggestion that the Red Cross horse show at Revere be closed to the public was agreed to.

And, as I see it, the constitution of the League of Nations and the clear definition of its objects must be a part, in a sense the most essential part, of the peace settlement itself. It cannot be merely a new alliance confined to the nations associated against a common enemy. It is not likely that it could be formed after the settlement. It is necessary to guarantee the peace, and the peace cannot be guaranteed as an afterthought. The reason for this is that the settlement is not a mere bargain or compromise, but a full and unequivocal acceptance of the principle that the interest of the weakest is as sacred as the interest of the strongest.

And, as I see it, the constitution of the League of Nations and the clear definition of its objects must be a part, in a sense the most essential part, of the peace settlement itself. It cannot be merely a new alliance confined to the nations associated against a common enemy. It is not likely that it could be formed after the settlement. It is necessary to guarantee the peace, and the peace cannot be guaranteed as an afterthought. The reason for this is that the settlement is not a mere bargain or compromise, but a full and unequivocal acceptance of the principle that the interest of the weakest is as sacred as the interest of the strongest.

And, as I see it, the constitution of the League of Nations and the clear definition of its objects must be a part, in a sense the most essential part, of the peace settlement itself. It cannot be merely a new alliance confined to the nations associated against a common enemy. It is not likely that it could be formed after the settlement. It is necessary to guarantee the peace, and the peace cannot be guaranteed as an afterthought. The reason for this is that the settlement is not a mere bargain or compromise, but a full and unequivocal acceptance of the principle that the interest of the weakest is as sacred as the interest of the strongest.

And, as I see it, the constitution of the League of Nations and the clear definition of its objects must be a part, in a sense the most essential part, of the peace settlement itself. It cannot be merely a new alliance confined to the nations associated against a common enemy. It is not likely that it could be formed after the settlement. It is necessary to guarantee the peace, and the peace cannot be guaranteed as an afterthought. The reason for this is that the settlement is not a mere bargain or compromise, but a full and unequivocal acceptance of the principle that the interest of the weakest is as sacred as the interest of the strongest.

And, as I see it, the constitution of the League of Nations and the clear definition of its objects must be a part, in a sense the most essential part, of the peace settlement itself. It cannot be merely a new alliance confined to the nations associated against a common enemy. It is not likely that it could be formed after the settlement. It is necessary to guarantee the peace, and the peace cannot be guaranteed as an afterthought. The reason for this is that the settlement is not a mere bargain or compromise, but a full and unequivocal acceptance of the principle that the interest of the weakest is as sacred as the interest of the strongest.

And, as I see it, the constitution of the League of Nations and the clear definition of its objects must be a part, in a sense the most essential part, of the peace settlement itself. It cannot be merely a new alliance confined to the nations associated against a common enemy. It is not likely that it could be formed after the settlement. It is necessary to guarantee the peace, and the peace cannot be guaranteed as an afterthought. The reason for this is that the settlement is not a mere bargain or compromise, but a full and unequivocal acceptance of the principle that the interest of the weakest is as sacred as the interest of the strongest.

And, as I see it, the constitution of the League of Nations and the clear definition of its objects must be a part, in a sense the most essential part, of the peace settlement itself. It cannot be merely a new alliance confined to the nations associated against a common enemy. It is not likely that it could be formed after the settlement. It is necessary to guarantee the peace, and the peace cannot be guaranteed as an afterthought. The reason for this is that the settlement is not a mere bargain or compromise, but a full and unequivocal acceptance of the principle that the interest of the weakest is as sacred as the interest of the strongest.

And, as I see it, the constitution of the League of Nations and the clear definition of its objects must be a part, in a sense the most essential part, of the peace settlement itself. It cannot be merely a new alliance confined to the nations associated against a common enemy. It is not likely that it could be formed after the settlement. It is necessary to guarantee the peace, and the peace cannot be guaranteed as an afterthought. The reason for this is that the settlement is not a mere bargain or compromise, but a full and unequivocal acceptance of the principle that the interest of the weakest is as sacred as the interest of the strongest.

And, as I see it, the constitution of the League of Nations and the clear definition of its objects must be a part, in a sense the most essential part, of the peace settlement itself. It cannot be merely a new alliance confined to the nations associated against a common enemy. It is not likely that it could be formed after the settlement. It is necessary to guarantee the peace, and the peace cannot be guaranteed as an afterthought. The reason for this is that the settlement is not a mere bargain or compromise, but a full and unequivocal acceptance of the principle that the interest of the weakest is as sacred as the interest of the strongest.

And, as I see it, the constitution of the League of Nations and the clear definition of its objects must be a part, in a sense the most essential part, of the peace settlement itself. It cannot be merely a new alliance confined to the nations associated against a common enemy. It is not likely that it could be formed after the settlement. It is necessary to guarantee the peace, and the peace cannot be guaranteed as an afterthought. The reason for this is that the settlement is not a mere bargain or compromise, but a full and unequivocal acceptance of the principle that the interest of the weakest is as sacred as the interest of the strongest.

And, as I see it, the constitution of the League of Nations and the clear definition of its objects must be a part, in a sense the most essential part, of the peace settlement itself. It cannot be merely a new alliance confined to the nations associated against a common enemy. It is not likely that it could be formed after the settlement. It is necessary to guarantee the peace, and the peace cannot be guaranteed as an afterthought. The reason for this is that the settlement is not a mere bargain or compromise, but a full and unequivocal acceptance of the principle that the interest of the weakest is as sacred as the interest of the strongest.

And, as I see it, the constitution of the League of Nations and the clear definition of its objects must be a part, in a sense the most essential part, of the peace settlement itself. It cannot be merely a new alliance confined to the nations associated against a common enemy. It is not likely that it could be formed after the settlement. It is necessary to guarantee the peace, and the peace cannot be guaranteed as an afterthought. The reason for this is that the settlement is not a mere bargain or compromise, but a full and unequivocal acceptance of the principle that the interest of the weakest is as sacred as the interest of the strongest.

And, as I see it, the constitution of the League of Nations and the clear definition of its objects must be a part, in a sense the most essential part, of the peace settlement itself. It cannot be merely a new alliance confined to the nations associated against a common enemy. It is not likely that it could be formed after the settlement. It is necessary to guarantee the peace, and the peace cannot be guaranteed as an afterthought. The reason for this is that the settlement is not a mere bargain or compromise, but a full and unequivocal acceptance of the principle that the interest of the weakest is as sacred as the interest of the strongest.

And, as I see it, the constitution of the League of Nations and the clear definition of its objects must be a part, in a sense the most essential part, of the peace settlement itself. It cannot be merely a new alliance confined to the nations associated against a common enemy. It is not likely that it could be formed after the settlement. It is necessary to guarantee the peace, and the peace cannot be guaranteed as an afterthought. The reason for this is that the settlement is not a mere bargain or compromise, but a full and unequivocal acceptance of the principle that the interest of the weakest is as sacred as the interest of the strongest.

## Condensed Telegrams

Subscriptions to the new French loan will open Oct. 20 and close Nov. 24. Sale of War Saving and Thrift stamps to date amounted to \$27,662,077.

The South Wales Railway strike ended. All the workers returned to their jobs.

An embargo was ordered on all shipments of bulk grain to the Cincinnati switching district.

A loan of \$4,000,000 is sought by the city of Chicago to meet the pay roll until the first of the year.

General Pershing reported the award of the Distinguished Service Cross to 34 enlisted men and officers.

Spain has lifted the duty on refrigerated meat temporarily and embargoed the exportation of Unadvised goods.

The strike of postal and telegraph employees which was in progress in Buenos Aires since Sept. 5, has ended.

Thomas McCarthy of Pittsburgh, an ensign in the navy, was killed while making a flight over Pensacola Bay.

Governor Whitman will buy the first Liberty Loan bond in New York tonight at Liberty Hall, Times Square.

Governor Lowden of Illinois issued a statement asking all voters to vote "yes" for the good roads bond issue in November.

An order was issued by the War Industries Board for retail stores to discontinue the use of unnecessary wrapping paper.

Governor Edge will open the Caldwell, N. J., rifle range, the largest in the world for the use of all citizens, on Saturday, Oct. 5.

The Senate Privileges Committee voted to postpone the investigation into the primary election fraud in Michigan until after election.

The commercial stocks of wheat reported to the Department of Agriculture in a food survey report Sept. 1, amount to 114,331,482 bushels.

The price-fixing committee of the War Industries Board announced that the prices for southern yellow pine will remain the same until Dec. 23.

General Botha, South African Premier, who has been ill, was told by his physician that he must undergo special treatment to save his life.

Bayard H. Rust, of Pittsburgh, a member of the Y. M. C. A. in Russia, said the Bolshevik government had not molested the party of 60 still in Russia.

Official opening of the government railroad between Seward and Anchorage, Alaska, was delayed because of storms caused washouts of railroad beds.

# BULGARIA IS NO LONGER A MILITARY FACTOR IN WAR

With Her Army in Full Retreat and Her Soil Invaded, Bulgaria Has Asked For an Armistice—Collapse of Germany's Balkan Ally Cuts Off the Turks and Leaves Open the Back Door of Austria to the Victorious French, Serbian, Greek and Italian Armies—Between Verdun and Rheims the French and Americans Have Made Another Gain—They Have Taken More Than 20,000 Prisoners and Many Guns of All Calibres.

(By The Associated Press.) With the wedding of the armies of the Entente into compact fighting under command of the inter-allied staff, guided by the master strategy of Marshal Foch, apparently has come the final break in the united front of the Central Powers.

Bulgaria, smallest of the Teutonic allies, seems to have struck her colors. Premier Malmoff has asked for an armistice to consider terms of peace. Whether he is acting upon his own responsibility as the representative of a revolutionary party or with the approval of King Ferdinand and the government, remains in doubt. In either case, however, there is little doubt that Bulgaria has ceased to be a military factor in the war. Her armies are in full retreat and her soil has been invaded.

Success in the Balkan state from the thraldom of Germany will be almost as severe a blow to the Teutonic alliance as was the collapse of Russia. If Bulgaria lays down her arms Turkey, her ally, will be left in a perilous position. The British and French armies are now in a position to advance into the Balkans and to the Black Sea. The British and French armies are now in a position to advance into the Balkans and to the Black Sea.

With the supplies of German-made munitions and raw materials hanging by such slender threads, military observers believe the Ottoman Empire will have no choice but to follow the example of its Balkan neighbor. But it makes little difference to the entente whether the Turk abandons the Boshevik government or not. The British and French armies are now in a position to advance into the Balkans and to the Black Sea.

The back door of Austria will stand open before the victorious British, French, Serbian, Greek and Italian armies plunging ahead through the mountains of liberated Serbia. Only the British and French armies are now in a position to advance into the Balkans and to the Black Sea.

Reports from Alaska say that gold miners are leaving the Yukon and Alaska gold districts because of the monetary price of gold and the higher cost of mining materials and food. The Bureau of Labor Statistics in connection with the Wage Adjustment Board of the Emergency Fuel Corporation announced that the cost of living in Philadelphia was 18.7 percent of 1914, 85 percent of the packages sent to the American Red Cross reports that the American soldiers reach their destination. They are being transported by ship to prevent them being looted.

Details of the public gathering at the church of the Holy Trinity, which was held in honor of the late Senator Charles McNary, were given by the church officials of their own accord. The church officials of the Holy Trinity, which was held in honor of the late Senator Charles McNary, were given by the church officials of their own accord.

Political